



HAL
open science

pKa constant determination of two triazole herbicides : Tebuconazole and Penconazole

Eva Čadková, Michael Komárek, Jean Debord, Della Puppa Loïc, François Bordas, Jean-Claude Bollinger

► **To cite this version:**

Eva Čadková, Michael Komárek, Jean Debord, Della Puppa Loïc, François Bordas, et al.. pKa constant determination of two triazole herbicides : Tebuconazole and Penconazole. *Journal of Solution Chemistry*, 2013, 42, pp.1075-1082. 10.1007/s10953-013-0012-z . hal-00929974

HAL Id: hal-00929974

<https://unilim.hal.science/hal-00929974>

Submitted on 20 Feb 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

8 **pK_a Constant Determination of Two Triazole Pesticides: Tebuconazole and**
9 **Penconazole**
10
11

12 **Eva Čadková · Michael Komárek · Jean Debord · Loïc Della Puppa · François Bordas ·**
13 **Jean-Claude Bollinger**
14
15
16

17 Eva Čadková

18 Department of Agro-Environmental Chemistry and Plant Nutrition, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague,
19 Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Prague 6, Czech Republic; *present address*: Czech Geological Survey, Geologická 6, 152 00
20 Prague 5, Czech Republic
21

22 Michael Komárek

23 Department of Environmental Geosciences, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences
24 Prague, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Prague 6, Czech Republic
25

26 Jean Debord

27 Service de Pharmacologie-Toxicologie, Hôpital Dupuytren, 2 Avenue Martin Luther King, 87042 Limoges, France
28

29 Loïc Della Puppa · François Bordas · Jean-Claude Bollinger (✉)

30 Groupement de Recherche Eau, Sol, Environnement (GRESE), Université de Limoges, 123 avenue Albert Thomas,
31 87060 Limoges, France

32 e-mail: jean-claude.bollinger@unilim.fr
33
34

35 **Abstract** We determined the acidity constants of tebuconazole and penconazole, two
36 fungicides from the group of 1,2,4-triazoles. Potentiometric titrations were performed in a 20%
37 (v/v) acetonitrile/water mixture at 25 °C and at a fixed ionic strength (KNO_3 , $0.1 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{dm}^{-3}$). The
38 $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ values (representing thermodynamic constants) were determined to be 5.0 ± 0.1 and 5.2 ± 0.1
39 for tebuconazole and penconazole, respectively. These values could be used in pure water
40 solutions to consider the protonated or deprotonated forms when studying the field behavior of
41 these fungicides. Molecular modeling calculations allowed identifying the N4 atom as the
42 protonation site.

43

44

45 **Keywords** Triazole pesticides • Potentiometric titrations • Protonation constant • Protonation
46 site • Molecular modeling

47

1 Introduction

Agrochemicals include organic molecules, with various building blocks and functional groups. When they are dispersed in the field, their physical, chemical and ecotoxicological properties, mostly related to their structure, are of major importance for their environmental behavior. Among those, the acid/base properties are of special interest, because they determine the present chemical form: a neutral molecule or a charged ion (either a cation and/or an anion, depending on the molecular structure). Because the soil and its main components (clays, Fe- and Mn-oxyhydroxides, humic substances, etc.) exhibit different surface charges according to the environmental pH [1], the acidity constant K_a (or the pK_a) of the pesticides are crucial for determining their behavior in the soil environment.

Here we focused on two pesticides of the (benzo)triazole family for which some quantitative structure-properties relationships are already available [2]: tebuconazole and penconazole (Table 1, Fig. 1). They are known to behave as systemic fungicides, with both curative and protective actions, mainly applied to orchards and vineyards [3] where the soil pH is commonly within the range from 4 to 8. According to their structure (Fig. 1), both tebuconazole and penconazole can be expected to behave as weak bases, the N4 nitrogen atom in the 1, 2, 4-triazole ring being the most probable target for protonation (see section 3.1 below); unfortunately, their pK_a values are unknown (tebuconazole) or of dubious value (penconazole) [4]. The knowledge of their correct values is essential, in order to predict the nature of the species (neutral molecule or protonated one) present in the environment.

These triazole molecules are poorly water-soluble, and require water-miscible co-solvents in order to be solubilized. Acetonitrile is a very adequate cosolvent for use in RP-HPLC analysis of this class of compounds [5, 6] due to its aprotic and polar nature and because it is fully miscible with water. Therefore, a mixture of acetonitrile and water was chosen here for the potentiometric determination of the pK_a of the two fungicides.

2 Experimental

2.1 Reagents

79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108

Analytical-grade tebuconazole was supplied by the Institute of Industrial Organic Chemistry (Warsaw, Poland) with a purity of 99.9 ± 0.1 %; analytical standard penconazole was purchased from Fluka (Pestanal, 99.1 %). All reagents and acetonitrile (AN) were of analytical grade (Fluka), and dissolved in high purity de-ionized carbonate-free water (Milli-Q system: resistivity $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$, $\text{TOC} < 10 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$).

2.2 Potentiometric Measurements

The $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ values of tebuconazole and penconazole were determined by potentiometric titration in a 20% (v/v) acetonitrile/water solvent mixture, according to a slight modification of the method described by Fikri et al. [7]. Each triazole compound (0.01 g) was dissolved in 20% AN (100 cm^3 ; at a fixed $0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ ionic strength using KNO_3), then sonicated (Bransonic model 200, power = 19 W, frequency = 40 Hz) during 20 min. Nitric acid ($0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$) was added in equivalent amount to 20 cm^3 of the triazole solution and this mixture of strong acid and protonated weak base was subsequently titrated by $0.01 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ KOH (in the 20% AN solvent) in a thermostatted cell ($25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) under nitrogen atmosphere (Linde, 5.0). The exact concentration of the KOH solution was determined by titration with HNO_3 ($0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$; Normadose Prolabo). The titration was performed (Fig. 2) using the automatic titrator Metrohm 716 DMS Titrimo coupled to a Metrohm 727 Ti Stand, a Metrohm 722 stirrer and equipped with a glass/calomel pH electrode (Metrohm, pH 0–14/0–80 $^\circ\text{C}$; KCl $3 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$). The set parameters are the dynamic mode, a $1 \text{ mV} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ signal drift and a $5 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ maximal flow-rate. The titration started after pH equilibrium was reached in the cell. The combined glass electrode was calibrated with pH 7.00 and 4.00 aqueous buffers and then with a $0.05 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ potassium hydrogenophthalate solution in the 20% AN solvent ($\text{pH}_\text{S} = 4.58$ [8, 9]). All procedures were performed in triplicates. The titration curves were fitted using the ProtoFit (version 2.1) software [10] to calculate the $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ values and using the Davies activity coefficient corrections calculated from the data in the mixed solvent system [11]. According to Fikri et al. [7], such a calculated value can be considered as numerically equal to the value in pure water, within the experimental uncertainties (± 0.05).

2.3 Molecular Modeling

110
111 For each compound, the geometries of the two enantiomers were optimized by molecular
112 mechanics (MM2 force field) with HyperChem [12] and further refined by the PM6 semi-
113 empirical molecular orbital method with MOPAC [13, 14]. The gas phase formation enthalpies at
114 298 K were also computed from MOPAC.

115
116

117 **3 Results and Discussion**

118

119 **3.1 Protonation Site**

120

121 Due to the presence of a single chiral center (asymmetric carbon atom) in both molecular
122 structures, each consists of two (*R* or *S*) enantiomers (Fig. 1), whose properties can be somewhat
123 different; the studied samples were racemic mixtures, however.

124 According to our molecular theoretical quantum calculations of gas phase formation enthalpies
125 (Table 3), the N4 protonation is favored by *ca.* 50–65 kJ·mol⁻¹, compared to N2 protonation,
126 whatever the studied fungicide and its enantiomer form.¹

127 Any further protonation on the N2 atom of the triazole ring will be hindered, due to both steric
128 hindrance and electrostatic repulsion. Moreover, there are no other protonable or deprotonable
129 site on neither of these two molecules.

130

131 **3.2 Protonation Constants**

132



133 The acidity constants, p*K*_a, of the two triazole compounds were determined from potentiometric
134 titrations in a 20% (v/v) AN solvent mixture at 25 °C (Fig. 2). Due to the introduction of activity
135 coefficient corrections during data treatment, our calculated p*K*_a values can be considered as the
136 thermodynamic ones.

137 However it would be more useful to have information about the p*K*_a value in pure water. In order
138 to estimate the effect of the 20% AN solvent mixture, we refer to our previous study on amino-2

¹ We have also tested the new PM7 method in MOPAC 2012. This method, which is still under development, gave enthalpy values within 5.3% of the PM6 values. The relative stabilities of the protonated forms were unchanged.

139 pyridine derivatives [7]: it appeared that the values of aqueous pK_a would be *ca.* 0.02 unit higher
140 than those determined in the 20% AN solvent, what is within the experimental uncertainties (\pm
141 0.05).

142 This is why we consider that our values are a good estimate (better than ± 0.1 unit) of the
143 aqueous pK_a of these two fungicides, *i.e.* for the equilibrium between the protonated and the free
144 molecular triazole (± 0.1 ; at 25 °C):



147 Until now, there has been limited information about the dissociation constant of tebuconazole and
148 penconazole (Tables 1 and 2). According to the IUPAC Pesticides Properties Database [4],
149 tebuconazole is considered as a very weak base, without any numerical value; for penconazole,
150 the low value given (1.51, see Table 1) is not in accordance with the structure of the compound,
151 while it would correspond to a medium-strength acid. Few other data are cited in the literature
152 (see Table 2); in all cases, they are lower than our experimental values, indicating a somewhat
153 weaker basic behavior for the corresponding molecule, what is once more not coherent with its
154 structure. Furthermore, the SPARC-calculated values [15] are too low to be realistic, and should
155 be ignored.

158 **4 Conclusion**

159
160 The aqueous pK_a values, determined for the first time in this study, allow predicting the
161 environmental behavior of either tebuconazole or penconazole according to the acid/base
162 properties of the soil solution and of the soil sorption complex. If stability constants data become
163 available, their possible complexation with metal cations present in the soil solution or added as
164 pesticides (*e.g.*, Cu [17, 18]) can now be estimated, for a better knowledge of the speciation of
165 these triazole fungicides.

169 **Acknowledgments** M. Komárek is grateful for the support obtained from the internal grant of the Faculty of
170 Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences (42900/1312/3166). Research at GRESE, University of
171 Limoges, is supported by the 'Conseil Régional du Limousin'.
172

173 174 **References**

- 175
- 176 1. Stumm, W., Morgan, J.J.: Aquatic Chemistry: Chemical Equilibria and Rates in Natural
177 Waters. Wiley, New York (1996)
 - 178 2. Bhhatarai, B., Gramatica, P.: Modelling physico-chemical properties of (benzo)triazoles, and
179 screening for environmental partitioning. *Water Res.* **45**, 1463–1471 (2011)
 - 180 3. Komárek, M., Čadková, E., Chrástný, V., Bordas, F., Bollinger, J.C.: Contamination of
181 vineyard soils with fungicides: A review of environmental and toxicological aspects.
182 *Environ. Int.* **36**, 138–151 (2010)
 - 183 4. IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry): Pesticide properties database;
184 online available: <http://pesticides.iupac.org/>
 - 185 5. Noga, S., Michel, M., Buszewski, B.: Effect of functionalized stationary phases on the
186 mechanism of retention of fungicides in RP-LC elution. *Chromatographia* **73**, 857–864
187 (2011)
 - 188 6. Qiu, J., Dai, S., Zheng, C., Yang, S., Chai, T., Bie, M.: Enantiomeric separation of triazole
189 fungicides with 3- μm and 5- μm particle chiral columns by reverse-phase high-performance
190 liquid chromatography. *Chirality* **23**, 479–486 (2011)
 - 191 7. Fikri, K., Debord, J., Bollinger, J.C., Clédat, D., Pénicaut, B., Robert, J.M.H.: RP-HPLC
192 lipophilicity studies for some (hetero)arylamides derived from 2-amino 4,6-dimethyl
193 pyridine: Introduction of an hydrogen-bond descriptor. *J. Liq. Chrom. Rel. Technol.* **34**,
194 1356–1366 (2011)
 - 195 8. Longhi, P., Mussini, T., Rondinini, S.: Predicting standard pH values for reference buffer
196 solutions in solvent mixtures with water. *Anal. Chem.* **58**, 2290–2292 (1986)
 - 197 9. Rosés, M., Rafols, C., Bosch, E.: Autoprotolysis in aqueous organic solvent mixtures. *Anal.*
198 *Chem.* **65**, 2294–2299 (1993)

- 199 10. Turner, B.F., Fein, J.B.: ProtoFit: A program for determining surface protonation constants
200 from titration data. *Comput. Geosci.* **32**, 1344–1356 (2006); ProtoFit v.2.1 rev.1 (November
201 2005) available free at: http://protofit.sourceforge.net/protofit_download.html
- 202 11. Gagliardi, L.G., Castells, C.B., Rafols, C., Rosés, M., Bosch, E.: Static dielectric constants of
203 acetonitrile/water mixtures at different temperatures and Debye-Hückel A and a_0B parameters
204 for activity coefficients. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **52**, 1103–1107 (2007)
- 205 12. HyperChem version 8, HyperCube Inc., Gainesville, Florida, USA: <http://www.hyper.com>
- 206 13. Stewart, J.J.P.: Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods, V: Modification of
207 NDDO approximations and application to 70 elements. *J. Mol. Model.* **13**, 1173–1213 (2007)
- 208 14. Stewart, J.J.P.: MOPAC2009, Stewart Computational Chemistry, Colorado Springs, CO,
209 USA: <http://OpenMOPAC.net>
- 210 15. Hilal, S.H., Karickhoff, S.W., Carreira, L.A.: A rigorous test for SPARC's chemical reactivity
211 models: Estimation of more than 4300 ionization pKa's. *Quant. Struct. Act. Relat.* **14**, 348–
212 355 (1995). SPARC online calculator (v. 4.6, October 2011) available free at:
213 <http://archemcalc.com/sparc/>
- 214 16. Chimuka, L., Michel, M., Cukrowska, E., Buszewski, B.: Influence of temperature on mass
215 transfer in an incomplete trapping supported liquid membrane extraction of triazole
216 fungicides. *J. Separ. Sci.* **32**, 1043–1050 (2009)
- 217 17. Arias, M., Paradelo, M., López, E., Simal-Gándara, J.: Influence of pH and soil copper on
218 adsorption of metalaxyl and penconazole by the surface layer of vineyard soils. *J. Agric.*
219 *Food Chem.* **54**, 8155–8162 (2006)
- 220 18. Čadková, E., Komárek, M., Kaliszová, R., Száková, J., Vaněk, A., Bordas, F., Bollinger, J.C.:
221 The influence of copper on tebuconazole sorption onto soils, humic substances and
222 ferrihydrite. *Environ. Sci. Pollution Res.*, in press, (2012).
- 223
224

225
226
227
228

Table 1 Physico-chemical properties of the fungicides tebuconazole and penconazole, according to IUPAC Pesticides Properties Database [4]

	Tebuconazole	Penconazole
Chemical name	(<i>R,S</i>)-1- <i>p</i> -chlorophenyl-4,4-dimethyl-3-(1 <i>H</i> -1,2,4-triazol-1-ylmethyl)pentan-3-ol	(<i>R,S</i>)-1-[2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)pentyl]-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,4-triazole
CAS Number	107534-96-3	66246-88-6
SMILES	<chem>C1c1ccc(cc1)CCC(O)(C(C)(C)C)Cn2ncnc2</chem>	<chem>C1c1ccc(c(Cl)c1)C(CCC)Cn2ncnc2</chem>
Chemical formula	C ₁₆ H ₂₂ ClN ₃ O	C ₁₃ H ₁₅ Cl ₂ N ₃
Molecular mass	307.82	284.18
pK _a	not available 'very weak base'	1.51 (at 25 °C) 'very weak base'
Water solubility (g·dm ⁻³ at 20 °C)	0.036	0.073
Melting point (°C)	105	60.3
log K _{ow} ^a	3.7 (at pH 7 and 20 °C)	3.72 (at pH 7 and 20 °C)
K _{oc} (dm ³ ·kg ⁻¹) ^b	769	2205
Degradation in soils (d) ^c	55.8	90.0
GUS leaching potential index ^d	2.00	1.51
BCF ^e	78	320

229

230
231
232
233
234
235

^a K_{ow} is the octanol/water partition coefficient
^b Partition coefficient normalized to organic carbon content, Freundlich model
^c Aerobic soil half-life, field conditions (days)
^d Groundwater Ubiquity Score (estimated)
^e BioConcentration Factor

236 **Table 2** pK_a values for the two fungicides

	Experimental results ^a	Estimated value ^b	Literature data
Tebuconazole	5.0 ± 0.1	1.56	3.39^c
Penconazole	5.2 ± 0.1	1.36	2.83 ± 0.12^d

237

238 a Potentiometric; 25 °C

239 b According to SPARC [15]

240 c Cited by Chimuka et al. [16]

241 d Cited by Arias et al. [17]

242

243

244 **Table 3** Gas phase formation enthalpies ($\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$) calculated with MOPAC/PM6

245


	Neutral molecule	N2 protonated	N4 protonated
Tebuconazole <i>R</i>	– 54.17	612.16	547.23
Tebuconazole <i>S</i>	– 46.90	602.16	551.14
Penconazole <i>R</i>	181.15	854.37	793.08
Penconazole <i>S</i>	183.27	850.82	795.17

246

247

248 **Fig. 1** Structures of Tebuconazole (a, b) and Penconazole (c, d) enantiomers (sticks and balls
249 presentation).


250



251


252 (a) Tebuconazole *R*

253



254

255 (b) Tebuconazole *S*




256

257

(c) Penconazole *R*

258




259

260

(d) Penconazole *S*

261

262 **Fig. 2** Titration curves for 2 mg Tebuconazole (×) and Penconazole (+) with 0.01 mol·dm⁻³
263 KOH in the 20% (v/v) AN/Water, 0.1 mol·dm⁻³ KNO₃ medium, after acidification with an
264 equimolar amount of HNO₃.
265
266



267