

# Role of dopants (B, P and S) on the stabilization of $\beta$ -Ca2SiO4

Sofien Saidani, Agnès Smith, Youssef El Hafiane, Lotfi Ben Tahar

# ► To cite this version:

Sofien Saidani, Agnès Smith, Youssef El Hafiane, Lotfi Ben Tahar. Role of dopants (B, P and S) on the stabilization of  $\beta$ -Ca2SiO4. Journal of the European Ceramic Society, 2020, 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2020.07.037. hal-02935782

# HAL Id: hal-02935782 https://unilim.hal.science/hal-02935782v1

Submitted on 24 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# 1 Role of dopants (B, P and S) on the stabilization of $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>

2

Sofien SAIDANI<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Agnès SMITH<sup>1</sup>, Youssef EL HAFIANE<sup>1</sup> and Lotfi BEN TAHAR<sup>2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>) Université de Limoges, Institute of Research on Ceramics, IRCER - UMR 7315, Centre Européen de la Céramique, 12 rue Atlantis, 87068 Limoges cedex, France

- 5 <sup>2)</sup> University of Carthage, Laboratoire des composés hétéro-organiques et des matériaux
- 6 nanostructurés-LR18 ES11, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, Jarzouna 7021, Tunisia
- 7 <sup>3)</sup> University of Tunis El Manar, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, 2092 Tunis, Tunisia
- 8 <sup>4)</sup> University of Northern Border, College of Science of Arar, P.O. Box 1231, Arar 91431, Kingdom of
- 9 Saudi Arabia
- 10 <sup>1</sup>: corresponding author (agnes.smith@unilim.fr)
- 11

#### 12 Abstract

13 The interest in belite ( $Ca_2SiO_4$  + impurities or dopants) phase increases significantly since new types of clinkers based essentially on this phase become promising alternatives to 14 15 Portland clinker for reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Belite is also of interest as a biomedical cement. For the cement industry, stabilization of the  $\beta$ -polymorph is essential since the  $\gamma$ -polymorph 16 17 has no hydraulic activity. In order to understand the mechanism of  $\beta$ -polymorph stabilization, this paper explores the addition of three dopants, namely P, B and S. It turns out that these 18 dopants can modify the lattice parameters of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and induce specific twinning 19 morphologies and surface relief, as well as grain boundaries deformations. A link between the 20 addition of dopant leading to significant microstructural changes and the stabilization of β-21 polymorph has been established. 22

#### 23 1 Introduction

Dicalcium silicate is used for different applications, such as bioceramics and cement. In 24 the cement field, belite (Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, with impurities or dopants) clinker appears as one of the 25 most promising route to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission in the cement industry [1,2]. Di-calcium silicate 26 faces different issues: nature of the stable form as a function of sintering temperature and 27 quenching, reactivity with water [3]. It presents five polymorphs as a function of decreasing 28 temperature, namely  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'_{H}$ ,  $\alpha'_{L}$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  [4]. Unfortunately,  $\gamma$  polymorph, which is stable at 29 room temperature, does not react with water [5]. The stabilization of water reactive 30 polymorphs of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> ( $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'_{H}$ ,  $\alpha'_{L}$  and  $\beta$ ), is essential in order to ensure reactivity with water 31 for cement application [6].  $\beta$ -polymorph is the well-known polymorph in the cement field [7]. 32 Recently, β- Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> gets more importance in biomedical field since it revealed a good 33 biocompatibility and bioactivity [8,9]. The stability of this phase as well as its mechanical 34 properties became increasingly interesting [10,11]. This phase was reported as heavily 35

twinned, which might be due to the  $\alpha'_L \rightarrow \beta$  transformation [12]. This property is specific to the  $\beta$ -structure [13]. Further, Shahsavari et al. [14] suggest the presence of dislocations in  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> which makes the phase the most brittle polymorph.

The stabilization during cooling of high temperature polymorphs of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> depends on 39 40 three parameters: particle size [15], thermal treatment [16] and the presence of impurities [17]. The increase of particle size leads to the destabilization of  $\beta$  polymorph and stimulates 41 the formation of  $\gamma$  polymorph during cooling [15].  $\beta$ -polymorph is favored by quenching the 42 clinker [6]. Researchers studied the incorporation of foreign ions inside Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> structure 43 [17,18,19,20]. The mechanism of stabilization was also investigated and different theories 44 were established [21]. Pritts and Daugherty [22] developed a β polymorph stabilization model 45 46 involving the charge and the radius of the foreign ions to explain the mechanism of stabilization. Xiuji and Shizong [23] proposed that the ability of ion polarization might be an 47 important factor to estimate the effect of element on the stability of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Lai et al. [17] 48 studied the stability of β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> doped with ions (Li<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, 49 Ti<sup>4+</sup>, Mn<sup>4+</sup>, P<sup>5+</sup> and S<sup>6+</sup>) and deduced that the relation between cation radius and  $\beta$ 50 stabilization was complicated. 51

Twin domains can contribute to surface energy of particles and thus the stability of 52 specific crystallographic structure [24]. Twins domains of  $\beta$  polymorph were studied by 53 different researchers [13,12,25,26]. During the  $\alpha'_L \rightarrow \beta$  transformation, twin domains are 54 formed thus consuming the strain energy provided during the transition [25,6]. Kim et al. 55 [25] claimed the presence of two types of twins: {100} and {001}. Xiuji et al. [13] claimed 56 57 that the nature of impurities might influence the type of twinning ( $\{100\}$  and  $\{001\}$ ) by proving that doping with K<sub>2</sub>O or Na<sub>2</sub>O lead to the formation of the {001} twins. The role of 58 59 impurities upon the microstructure of twin domains and grain boundaries of  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$ polymorphs was also investigated for the case of phosphorus and barium additions by Ghose 60 et al. [26]. In fact, based on the observation of contrasts by transmission electron microscopy, 61 they proposed that a second phase was formed at the grain boundaries in the P- and Ba-doped 62  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> samples. Groves [12] investigated the impact of the thermal treatment of powder 63 upon the microstructure of twin domains and claimed that two types of fast cooling can exist: 64  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha'_{H} \rightarrow \beta$ . He claimed that the  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  transformation lead to more internal strains than 65 the  $\alpha'_H \rightarrow \beta$  transformation. Internal strains generated by the  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  transformation could be 66 sufficient to generate dislocations at the grain boundaries. 67

Despite some early works on the twinning properties of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, the impact of the 68 nature of stabilizer elements on the morphology of twin domains and grain boundaries has not 69 yet being studied to our knowledge. Therefore, the main goal of this study consists of 70 71 examining the effect of three stabilizer elements (boron, phosphorus and sulfur) upon the microstructure of the  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The selection of these three dopants is justified below. 72 73 Sulfur can be part of the raw materials used to produce clinkers containing belite. As an example, belite-rich sulfoaluminate clinkers are a good alternative to Portland clinkers due to 74 the energy savings [27]; calcium sulfate is one of the raw materials and sulfur can be present 75 76 in belite [28]. Concerning phosphorus, it can be present in the limestone used for clinker 77 manufacture [29] or in other calcium sources such as ash bones which are used to reduce  $CO_2$ 78 emission during the clinkering process [30,31]. Lastly, with respect to boron, its presence in belite-rich sulfoaluminate clinker was found to catalyze the hydration of belite [32]. Table 1 79 80 presents the effect of those elements upon the structure of Ca2SiO4 with different experimental conditions. It was reported that sulfur addition stabilizes  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> by the 81 82 substitution of silicon by sulfur in the structure [33,34]. The maximum content of SO<sub>3</sub> in the structure of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> was estimated to be 4.4 wt.% [33]. Different researchers studied 83 84 phosphorus addition in Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> structure and they affirmed that the presence of phosphorus stabilized  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'_{H}$ ,  $\alpha'_{L}$  and  $\beta$  polymorphs [22,35,36]. Poulsen et al. [18] described the 85 mechanism of stabilization, which consisted in the substitution of silicon by phosphorus and 86 charge compensation being maintained by creation of calcium vacancies. Duée et al. [36] said 87 that for a 10 wt.%  $P_2O_5$  content with respect to SiO<sub>2</sub>, the obtained product was the  $\alpha$ -88  $Ca_2SiO_4/\alpha$ - $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$  solid solution. Fukuda et al. [37] report the effect of phosphorus 89 addition upon the microstructure of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> surface. These authors detected the formation 90 of surface relief on the grain surface and related it to a martensitic transformation  $\alpha'_{L} \rightarrow \beta$ . 91 With respect to boron, previous studies evidenced that boron stabilizes the  $\beta$ -polymorph 92 [19,17,38]. Cuesta et al. [39] claimed that boron stabilized high temperature polymorphs ( $\beta$ 93 and  $\alpha'_L$ ) by substituting silicon and calcium atoms. 94

In the present study, examination of twin domains, grain boundaries and surface reliefs
are carried out in order to refine the understanding of β stabilization at room temperature and
its effect on the microstructure of grains.

### 98 2 Sample preparation

99 Two synthesis routes were adopted to prepare pure stable  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> through poly-100 condensation reaction and doped  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> through solid-state reaction. For the poly-

condensation reaction, we applied the same experimental protocol as described in a previous 101 study [15]. The resin was prepared by mixing citric acid (Alfa Aesar CAS: 77-92-9; molar 102 mass: 192.124 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>) and ethylene glycol (Fisher Bioreagents CAS: 107-21-1; molar mass: 103 62.07 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>). The ratio  $R = \frac{m_{resin}}{m_{Ca_2SiO_4}}$  was fixed at 0.9. The calcium precursor was 104 Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O (Alfa Aesar CAS: 13477-34-4; molar mass: 236.15 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>). The silicon 105 precursor was Ludox<sup>R</sup> AS-40 colloidal silica (Aldrich CAS: 7631-86-9. Molar mass of SiO<sub>2</sub>: 106 60.08 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>, 40 vol% in water). Calcium nitrate and colloidal silica were dissolved in water. 107 This solution was added drop by drop into the resin and then stirred at 363 K for 3 h. The 108 109 formed gel was cured at 373 K for 24 h to evaporate water and to transform into a foam. It was then calcined at 673 K and gave a brown powder, which was treated at 1473 K for one 110 hour to produce pure  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> powder. 111

112 For the solid-state reaction, CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich CAS: 471-34-1) and SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ceradel CAS: 14808-60-7) were mixed intimately, in a 2:1 stoichiometric proportion. Boron, 113 phosphorus and sulfur were supplied by the addition of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and CaSO<sub>4</sub>. Their quantity 114 were adjusted according to prepare the following mix:  $(1-x)Ca_2SiO_4 + \frac{x}{2}D$ , where D 115 (which stands for 'Dopant') is  $B_2O_3$  or  $P_2O_5$ , and  $(1 - x)Ca_2SiO_4 + xD$  when D is CaSO<sub>4</sub> 116 and presented in table 2 (x corresponds to the weight percentage). These elements were 117 118 supplied respectively by boric acid H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich CAS: 10043-53-3), ammonium phosphate dibasic (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (Sigma Aldrich CAS: 7783-28-0) and CaSO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (Fluka 119 CAS: 10101-41-4). Their percentages were selected according to results from previous works 120 (Table 1). Experimental conditions were chosen to obtain  $\beta$  and to prevent the formation of  $\alpha$ ' 121 and  $\gamma$  polymorphs. All mixtures were milled (*RETSCH* miller) with the addition of a small 122 quantity of ethanol. Disks of 20 mm in diameter and ~ 3.2 mm thickness were pressed 123 (compaction pressure: 2 T). Disks were heated in a platinum crucible at two temperatures, 124 1473 and 1673 K, for 1 h (heating ramp: 600 Kh<sup>-1</sup>) and guenched in air at room temperature. 125 These two temperatures were chosen in order to study the two transformations that can occur 126 during cooling, namely  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha'_H \rightarrow \beta$ . Table 2 presents the notations and the firing 127 128 conditions.

# 129 3 Characterization techniques

130 X-Ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected at room temperature on a Bruker D8 131 Advance X-ray diffractometer with  $Cu_{K\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda_{Cu} = 1.54056$  Å) at a step scan of 0.01 ° 132 operated at a voltage 40 kV and an electric current 40 mA. Phase identification was carried out using EVA program. Rietveld refinement was carried out for all samples to determine unit cell parameters and the quantification of different phases in each sample using TOPASS software. Files from the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) used in this study are presented in Table 3. For each refinement, the Pseudo Voight II profile shape function was used. Instrumental parameters (background, zero), scale factor and cell parameters were refined. Atomic coordination numbers were refined only for the  $\beta$  polymorph.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on doped β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The DSC
scans were taken with Thermo-balance TG-DSC Setsys Setaram 24 apparatus. The firing
cycle was the following: heating ramp 10 °C/min up to 1420 °C and cooling ramp 10 °C/min.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out with a FEI Quanta 450 microscope. No conductive layer was deposited on the surface of samples in order to offer the original surface for specific analyses. The specimens were stored in desiccators filled with silica gel before and after examinations to prevent hydration.

High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) was used to study the 146 147 morphology and the crystallography of different samples. TEM experiments were carried out on a JEOL 2100F- LM microscope operating at 200 kV. Since Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> particles react under 148 149 the electron beam, the observations were carried out at 73 K. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) 150 was performed and high resolution (HR) images were recorded to investigate crystalline characteristics of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) was studied 151 in some cases to investigate a preferential direction. Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) 152 was performed on Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> particle to identify the crystalline structure. Specimens were 153 prepared by dispersing the selected powders in acetone and dropping the suspensions on 154 copper grids coated with carbon support films. 155

# 156 4 Results and discussion

157 4.1 Effect of dopants on the stabilization of the  $\beta$  polymorph

XRD patterns of the different samples treated at 1673 and 1473 K are presented on Figs. 158 1 and 2. The sample prepared by chemical route leads the formation of pure  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> (BC 159 on Figs. 1 and 2). As expected, BS sample prepared by solid state synthesis and without any 160 dopant presents the γ-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> polymorph (BS on Fig. 1) [45]. At 1673 K, the addition of 161 dopants (P, B and S) leads to the stabilization of  $\beta$ -polymorph (Respectively BSP\_16, 162 BSB\_16 and BSS\_16 on Fig. 1). We notice the presence of small intensity peaks related to  $\gamma$ -163 polymorph for BSP\_16. Rietveld refinement confirmed the presence of 7 wt% of γ-polymorph 164 (Table 4). In addition, we detected a significant quantity of  $\alpha$ '-polymorph, i.e. 22 wt%. For 165

the other samples (BSB 16 and BSS 16), we confirmed that the content of  $\beta$ -polymorph is 166 very high (respectively 99.5 and 98.8 wt%). We also record a significant displacement of 167 168 XRD peaks in the doped samples compared to the pure sample. This fact suggests a change of lattice parameters, which can be due to the incorporation (insertion/substitution) of the dopant 169 into the structure. The calculated variations of lattice parameters using Rietveld refinement, 170 by comparison with the lattice parameters given by Mumme et al. [40], shows that lattice 171 deformations depend on the nature of the dopant (Table 5). Samples prepared by solid-state 172 route and fired at 1473 K contain some unreacted silica and CaO, which can be due to the 173 174 lower firing temperature. Small peaks related to  $\gamma$ -polymorph are detected despite the presence of P (BSP\_14) or S (BSS\_14). Phase quantification using Reitveld method confirms 175 176 the presence of unreacted oxide and small amount of transformed  $\beta$ -polymorph into  $\gamma$ polymorph (Table 5). With B doping (BSB\_14) no  $\gamma$ -polymorph is detected and the XRD 177 178 peaks are narrow compared to the other samples, namely BSP\_14 and BSS\_14. To conclude, sintering at 1673 K (followed by quenching at room temperature) leads to a better 179 180 stabilization of the  $\beta$  polymorph than sintering at 1473 K. The peaks of samples treated at 1673 K are broader than peaks of the samples treated at 1473 K. 181

182 With respect to the effect of particle size upon the stabilization of pure  $\beta$ -polymorph, the 183 size increase leads to the formation of  $\gamma$ -polymorph and the increase of the firing temperature leads to the increase of particle size [15]. In the present case, two facts should be pointed out: 184 (i) for each dopant, the increase of firing temperature leads to the broadening of diffraction 185 peaks; though increasing the firing temperature should lead to an increase of particle size (and 186 a narrowing of the diffraction peaks), the broadening observed in the present case could be 187 associated to the existence of deformation in the unit cells, such as strain or dislocations [46]. 188 (ii) BSP\_16 and BSS\_16 reveal a better stabilization of  $\beta$ -polymorph than BSP\_14 and 189 BSS\_14. This points out the importance of the thermal treatment on stabilization the  $\beta$ -190 191 polymorph.

Kim et al. [25] presented the different transformations of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> as a function of 192 temperature and claimed that the  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'_{\rm H}$  transformation occurs at 1693 K. The presence of 193 foreign ions such as phosphorus (1 wt% of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> with respect to SiO<sub>2</sub>) and boron (0.13 wt% of 194 B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with respect to CaO) decreases the temperature of  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'_{H}$  transformation from 1693 to 195 1553 and 1669 K, respectively [26]. In the present case, with the addition of one dopant (P, S 196 or B), the  $\alpha$  polymorph (resp.  $\alpha'_{H}$  polymorph) can be formed during sintering at 1673 K (resp. 197 1473 K). In order to confirm this fact, Fig. 3 shows the evolution of the heat flow during 198 cooling of the doped samples. Indeed, the exothermic peak corresponding to  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'_{\rm H}$ 199

transformation occurs below 1673 K and at different temperatures according to the nature of 200 the dopant, which confirms the formation of  $\alpha$  polymorph in the case of high temperature 201 firing. However, this transformation doesn't occur in the case of the pure sample. 202 Consequently, the  $\beta$  phase present in the BSP 16, BSS 16 and BSB 16 samples (resp. 203 BSP\_14, BSS\_14 and BSB\_14 samples) is due to the  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  (respectively  $\alpha'_H \rightarrow \beta$ ) fast cooling. 204 According to XRD results, the  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  transition leads to a better stabilization of high 205 temperature  $\beta$ -polymorph than the  $\alpha'_H \rightarrow \beta$  transition. Fukuda et al. [47] claimed that the 206 solubility of foreign ions, namely iron and aluminum, into  $\alpha$ -structure is higher than  $\alpha'_{H-}$ 207 208 structure. During the cooling, the solubility of foreign ions decreases and these ions can 209 migrate either to the grain surfaces or towards the grain boundaries [48]. This postulate is 210 supported by Groves [26], who interpreted the difference between the two types of cooling by the formation of dislocations at the grain surfaces in the case of  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  cooling. Therefore, the 211 212 broadening of XRD peaks, observed for the samples sintered at 1673 K, compared to samples sintered at 1473 K, can be due to the deformation in the unit cells induced by dislocations, 213 214 which arise in the case of  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  cooling. To conclude, the dopants can deform the Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> lattice. They can lower the temperature of formation of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'_{H}$  polymorphs compared to 215 216 pure Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. During  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  cooling, dislocations and defects can appear, which can promote 217 the stabilization of the  $\beta$  phase. In the next paragraph, SEM and TEM techniques will be used to complete XRD results and to investigate the effect of the presence of dopant element upon 218 219 the surface of samples.

- 220 4.2 Effect of doping elements upon the microstructure
- 221 4.2.1 Grain boundary (GB) modifications

The addition of dopant elements (P, B and S) affects the grain boundary (GB) 222 morphology compared with the pure sample as shown in Figs. 4 and 5. In the case of 223 phosphorus addition (BSP\_16, Fig. 5), there is a modification of the GB grooves (indicated by 224 225 white arrows) compared to the pure sample (Fig. 4). This modification was not recorded for the sample fired at 1473 K. In the case of boron addition (BSB\_16, Fig. 5), an interphase is 226 227 present next to the GB (indicated by white arrows); it could be an amorphous phase since no specific XRD peak was recorded. On the other hand, the addition of sulfur (BSS\_16, Fig. 5) 228 229 lead to the deformation of grain boundaries (indicated by white arrows). Lastly, doped samples sintered at 1473 K (BSB\_14 and BSS\_14, Fig. 5) present no significant difference in 230 231 the grain boundary microstructure compared with the pure sample (Fig. 4). The apparition of an interphase (case of B doping) in GB and the change of GB grooving (case of P doping) 232 233 might result from the difference of dopant solubility between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  polymorphs, which

leads to the segregation of the dopant at the GB during cooling. The absence of such morphology in samples sintered at 1473 K (and fast cooled) can result from the formation of the β polymorph during the  $\alpha'_{H}$ →β cooling.

The existence of GB grooves influences the GB energy [49], which can be estimatedusing Mullin's equation [50]:

239 
$$\frac{\gamma_{GB}}{\gamma_s} = 2\cos(\frac{\Psi}{2}) \qquad (1)$$

where  $\gamma_{GB}$  is the GB energy,  $\gamma_S$  is the surface energy and  $\Psi$  is the dihedral angle of GB. According to the SEM observation, the GB grooves, due to the presence of phosphorus ions, leads to the increase of the dihedral angle of GB as presented in Fig. 6. Assuming that  $\gamma_S$  is identical for the pure and the P-doped sample (BSP\_16), the increase of  $\Psi$  leads to the decrease of the GB energy.

For the deformed microstructure (case of S doping), dislocations are known to be 245 transmitted from one grain to another through GB. Gran boundaries can also act as an 246 obstacle and can be efficient dislocation sinks, which is related to the level of stress of the 247 grain [51]. The consequence is the formation of a piled up deformation at GB [52]. Since 248 dislocations were recorded in the case of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> [14], the GB might play the role of an 249 250 obstacle for the displacement of dislocations leading to the formation of a pile up (indicated by white arrows). In order to confirm the presence of dislocations, BSS\_16 sample was 251 examined by TEM. A HR-TEM image was recorded along b axis, which is confirmed by 252 FFT, and presented in Fig. 7. An examination of atom arrangement exhibits the presence of 253 254 screw dislocation, which is formed by the shearing of (101) planes as presented in the atomic model in Fig. 7. The IFFT pattern with [101], presented in Fig. 7, confirms the presence of 255 256 deformation. Therefore, those dislocations can migrate to the GB and create such observed deformation. 257

258 Different types of GB deformation were recorded, which are related to different causes 259 depending on the nature of dopant element. Therefore, such deformations might have an 260 influence on the energy of GB, by decreasing it comparing to the pure sample, which can 261 contribute to the stabilization of  $\beta$  polymorph and prevents the  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$  transformation.

262 4.2.2 Twin microstructure modification

263  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> is known to be a heavily twinned structure [12]. Several researchers pretend 264 that this planar dislocation promotes the stabilization of  $\beta$  polymorph [25]. Besides, the morphology of twin domains is related to the energy of twins [53], and the morphology of twins in  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> might have an influence upon the stabilization of  $\beta$  polymorph. Therefore, in the present study, the effect of dopants upon the twin morphology was studied. For the interpretation of the observed morphologies, we referred to the description of twins alloys in the literature [53]. According to their morphology, twins can be classified into different types: the crossing twin morphology, the general morphology and the zig-zag morphology [53]. These classifications will be used to interpret our observations.

272 Given our SEM and TEM observations, the twin morphology of pure samples (BC) 273 seems to be different compared to doped samples. In the present work, only {100}-type twin 274 was studied since all our samples are heavily twinned in the (100) plane, which makes the 275 detection of {001}-type twin very hard. The pure sample has laminates of finite widths (indicated by a white arrow, Fig. 8). The morphology of doped samples differs from the 276 277 morphology of the pure sample since the laminates are getting thinner compared to BC via 278 branching and variants in each laminate. It results in thin needles close to the interface as presented for the B-doped sample in Fig. 8 (indicated by a black arrow). This morphology is 279 more present in the case of B-doping than in the P- and S-doping cases. 280

The twin microstructures can be classified as a function of the morphology of ending 281 tips [53]. The crossing twin morphology requires that the width of laminates are positioned in 282 such a way that the twinning planes match exactly at the interfaces and the twin boundary 283 (TB) is coherent [53]. In the case of the pure sample, this condition is not quite fulfilled even 284 with finite widths of domains as presented in Fig. 9 showing the absence of compatibility of 285 286 TB of two domains at the interface. Therefore, such morphology can be classified as a pseudo-crossing morphology (Fig. 9). The formation of needles (via branching), for doped 287 288 samples corresponds to the general morphology (Fig. 10). In order to identify the type of observed twin, SAED were recorded for the two different morphologies and both are {100}-289 290 type twin. Therefore, this change in morphology cannot be related to a change in twin type. Seiner et al. [53] provided an explanation for the different twinning morphology and how they 291 292 control the morphology of ending tips of laminates. The apparition of the general morphology, with the presence of dopants, can be explained also by the change of the surface 293 294 specific energy of twinning plane compared to the pure sample. This change can be related to the insertion of dopant into the  $\beta$  structure. In addition to that, TBs can play the role of sinks 295 for structural defaults and dislocations, similar to GBs behavior, which can leads to the 296 deformation of the morphology of twin domains. 297

The twin morphology of doped samples treated at 1473 K is similar to the pure sample. It can be explained as follows: (i) the lower quantity of dopant inside the grains of samples sintered at 1473 K compared to samples sintered at 1673 K decreases the density of structural defects. Thus, the morphology of twin domains cannot be deformed; (ii) the low insertion of dopant elements into the  $\beta$  structure compared to the doped samples treated at 1673 K cannot create a significantly modification upon the surface specific energy of twinning planes and induce a change in the twin morphology.

In addition to the stabilization of  $\beta$ -polymorph by substitution or/and insertion of the dopant into the structure and according to the link between the formation of twins and the stabilization of  $\beta$ -polymorph [25], we can conclude that the formation of the general morphology might offer better stabilization of  $\beta$ -polymorph compared to the pseudo-crossing twin morphology. This fact can be related to the formation of high energy TB compared to the pure sample, which might act as an obstacle for the germination of  $\gamma$ -polymorph.

311 4.2.3 Surface relief and dislocations

In the case of phosphorus addition, the stabilization of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, fired at 1673 K, is 312 accompanied with the formation of significant deformation of the grain surface (Fig. 11a). It 313 is less important when firing temperature is at 1473 K (Fig. 11b). The orientation of this relief 314 is perpendicular to the twin variants (circled in white in Fig. 11). Such a surface relief, with 315 316 the stabilization of  $\beta$  polymorph with phosphorus addition, was observed by Fukuda et al. [37], with the same orientation. The surface relief was reported as a deformation generated by 317 318 the strain produced during the  $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  transitions, which are classified as ferro-elastic martensitic transformation [25]. This strain can deform the surface of the grains when 319 phosphorus is present in the structure [53]. The lower magnitude of surface deformation in 320 sample fired at 1473 K compared to 1673 K might be related to the higher intensity of the 321 generated strain during  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  compared to  $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta$  transformation [25]. 322

In the case of boron addition, grains show slip steps on the surface (see the blue arrows 323 on Figs. 12a and 12b). TEM and SAED observations of BSB\_16 in a deformed zone confirm 324 the formation of pure  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> along [ $\overline{3}$ 01] without a secondary phase. It corresponds to the 325 formation of slip (indicated by the blue arrows, Fig. 12c). The absence of rings on the SAED 326 pattern confirms the high crystallinity and the absence of an amorphous phase. Compared to 327 P-doped samples, these observations can be related to a deformation coming from the 328 329 martensitic transformations forming the  $\beta$ -structure. The absence of this deformation in case 330 of sample fired at 1473 K can be due to the difference in the intensity of generated strain

between  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta$  transformation. By inspecting BSB\_16 sample by HR-TEM, we 331 recorded the formation of defects such as [002](020) edge dislocation (Fig. 13). The inverse 332 of fast Fourier transform with [002] (Fig. 13c) demonstrates clearly the existence an extra half 333 (002) plane. Therefore, the dislocation motion can be at the origin of the formation of these 334 slips as presented in Fig. 13d (e.g. (020) glide) [51]. The motion of dislocations needs energy 335 and the generated strain during the formation of  $\beta$  structure might provide enough energy to 336 move the dislocations to the surface. This morphology is not observed for sample fired at 337 1473 K since its  $\beta$  transformation provides lower strain energy. 338

In the case of sulfur addition, the surface morphology is different from boron and phosphorus doped samples. BSS\_16 is characterized by the formation of etch pits on the surface of grains with sizes of the order of 10 nm as presented in Fig. 14 (indicated by blue arrows). The formation of these pits can be related to the motion of dislocations, because we note the formation of screw dislocations as presented in section 4.2.1 section and in Fig. 15, which shows the shearing of (020) planes. Hence, the motion of such dislocations might induce the formation of pits on the surface of the grains [54,55].

Lastly, samples treated at 1473 K present a surface microstructure similar to the pure sample, i.e. a smooth surface with low deformation. It suggests that little dislocations are formed. This fact can be related to the low content of dopant inside the structure and to the type of cooling, which is confirmed by the lower variation of unit cell parameters comparing to samples treated at 1673 K (Table 5). This conclusion seems to be coherent with XRD results. Consequently, the broadening of XRD peaks can be explained by the formation of dislocations.

To conclude, the change of the surface microstructure of doped β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> compared to 353 undoped Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> can be related to the formation and motions of linear dislocations (edge and 354 screw dislocations). In Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, the increase of the particle size during sintering leads during 355 cooling to the destabilization of  $\beta$  polymorph and the formation of  $\gamma$  polymorph. The addition 356 of dopants (S, B, P) conducts to the formation of dislocations. One of the driving forces of 357  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$  transformation is the generated strain during previous transformations [6]. The motion 358 of these dislocations might consume part of this strain and contributes to the stabilization of 359 360  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>.

#### 361 4.3 Dislocation motion

The presence of dislocation and their motion were considered as the source of observed 362 deformation on the surface of β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The high density of deformation in the case of 363 samples fired at 1673 K, compared to those fired at 1473 K, can be explained by the 364 difference of thermal strain generated by the higher firing temperature, which induces to the 365 motion of dislocations to interfaces such as GB and TB. According to the literature, the 366 motion of dislocations requires a minimum strain, which is proportional to the dislocation 367 characteristics (type, width of deformation) and to the structure density. This relation is 368 described by Peierls-Nabarro's model [56]: 369

370 
$$au_{PN} \approx G e^{-\left(\frac{2\pi w}{b}\right)}$$
 (2)

where  $\tau_{PN}$  is the strain of Peierls-Nabarro, G is the shear modulus, w is the width of the 371 deformation due to the presence of edge dislocation and b is the modulus of burger vector. An 372 373 increase in the width of deformation leads to a decrease of the strain necessary to move a 374 dislocation into the structure. In addition, the decrease of b, associated to the increase of interatomic distance, leads to the decrease of  $\tau_{PN}$ , which explain the higher mobility of 375 dislocation in the case of metal compared to oxide. In the case of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, Shahsavari et al. 376 [14] estimated the strain of Peierls-Nabarro based on experimental observation and ab-initio 377 simulation (Fig. 16). They claimed a very high value of strain (164.44 MPa) necessary to 378 move a dislocation inside  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Besides this high value, they announced that the motion 379 of dislocations inside this structure is very difficult and requires a high energy. In the present 380 work, the examination of an edge dislocation in the case of BSB\_16 by HR-TEM shows big 381 difference compared to the observation of Shahsavari et al. [14]. As shown in Fig. 16, the 382 presence of an extra half plan leads to a larger area of deformation inside the structure of 383 384 BSB\_16 compared to the case of Shahsavari et al. [14]. In fact, the width of deformation in BSB\_16, estimated equal to  $10 \times b$ , is larger than the previous case which was estimated to 385 be  $6 \times b$ . Assuming that shear modulus is the same for both cases, the strain of Peierls-386 Nabarro for both cases can be compared according to equation (3): 387

$$388 \quad \frac{\tau_{PN1}}{\tau_{PN2}} \approx \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{2\pi w_1}{b_1}\right)}}{e^{-\left(\frac{2\pi w_2}{b_2}\right)}} \quad (3) \quad \to \quad \frac{\tau_{PN1}}{\tau_{PN2}} \approx 68,31$$

where  $\tau_{PN1}$  and  $\tau_{PN2}$  are the strains of Peirls-Nabarro in Shahsavari's case and in the present study, respectively. According to this estimation,  $\tau_{PN2}$  is 68 times lower than  $\tau_{PN1}$ , which involves a much higher mobility of dislocations in our case compared to Shahsavari's case. This difference can be due to the nature of dopant present and to the thermal treatment. Thus, the contribution of some surface deformations to the presence and the mobility of dislocations can be confirmed by these results.

## 395 5 Conclusion

The mechanism of stabilization of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> through the addition of dopants seems to be 396 more complicated than described by different previous works [20,33,39]. XRD results show 397 the type of cooling  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ and } \alpha'_H \rightarrow \beta)$  influences the stability of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  cooling 398 stabilizes the  $\beta$ -structure better than  $\alpha'_H \rightarrow \beta$  cooling. In addition to the effect of particle size, 399 the presence of dopants showed a significant influence effect upon the stabilization of  $\beta$ -400 Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The mechanism of stabilization needs to be more developed than a simple insertion 401 402 or substitution inside the lattice. Fig. 17 summarizes the main conclusions of this work. The presence of stabilizer ions can lead to the increase of the density of dislocations and to the 403 404 change of grain boundary morphology in addition to the lattice deformation. Depending on the thermal treatment, these modifications influence the surface aspect and the properties of 405 406 twins. Such modifications might lead to the decrease of Gibbs energy of  $\beta$  polymorph and enable its stabilization at room temperature. These modifications might also influence the 407 408 mechanical properties and the hydraulicity of β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The study of the hydraulicity of doped  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> when general morphology dislocations are present is the next step to look at 409 in order to examine to which extend the hydraulicity could be modified. This point is 410 important for belitic cements classified as low carbon CO<sub>2</sub> cements. Finally, the presence of 411 dislocations can also affect the plasticity of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. It could be a good strategy to improve 412 the grindability of this phase in clinkers to reduce the associated grinding energy. 413

414

#### 415 *References*

- 416 [1] F. Ma, A. Sha, P. Yang, Y. Huang, The Greenhouse Gas Emission from Portland Cement Concrete
  417 Pavement Construction in China, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health, 13 (2016), doi:
  418 10.3390/ijerph13070632.
- F. P. Glasser, L. Zhang, High-performance cement matrices based on calcium sulfoaluminate-belite compositions, Cem. Concr. Res., 31 (2001) 1881-1886, doi: 10.1016/S0008-8846(01)00649-4.
- 421 [3] E. Gartner, Industrially interesting approaches to "low-CO<sub>2</sub>" cements, Cem. Concr. Res., 34 (2004)
  422 1489-1498, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.01.021.
- 423 [4] S. N. Ghosh, P. B. Rao, A. K. Paul, K. Raina, The chemistry of dicalcium silicate mineral, J. Mater. Sci.,
   424 14 (1979) 1554-1566, doi: 10.1007/BF00569274.
- 425 [5] R. Trettin, G. Oliew, C. Stadelmann, W. Wieker, Very early hydration of dicalcium silicate-polymorphs,
  426 Cem. Concr. Res., 21 (1991) 757-764, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(91)90171-D.
- 427 [6] G. W. Groves, Phase transformations in dicalcium silicate, J. Mater. Sci., 18 (1983) 1615-1624, doi: 10.1007/BF00542054.
- 429 [7] H. F. W. Taylor, Cement Chemistry. Thomas Telford, (1997).

- 430 [8] S. Fu, W. Liu, S. Liu, S. Zhao, Y. Zhu, 3D printed porous β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> scaffolds derived from preceramic
  431 resin and their physicochemical and biological properties, Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater., 19 (2018) 495-506,
  432 doi: 10.1080/14686996.2018.1471653.
- 433 [9] Z. Gou, J. Chang, Synthesis and in vitro bioactivity of dicalcium silicate powders, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 24
  434 (2004) 93-99, doi: 10.1016/S0955-2219(03)00320-0.
- 435 [10] H. Zhong, L. Wang, Y. Fan, L. He, K. Lin, W. Jiang, J. Chang, L. Chen, Mechanical properties and bioactivity of β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> ceramics synthesized by spark plasma sintering, Ceram. Int., 37 (2011) 2459-2465, doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2011.03.037.
- 438 [11] Z. Gou, J. Chang, W. Zhai, Preparation and characterization of novel bioactive dicalcium silicate
  439 ceramics, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 25 (2005) 1507-1514, doi: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2004.05.029.
- 440 [12] G. W. Groves, Twinning in β-dicalcium silicate, Cem. Concr. Res., 12 (1982) 619-624, doi: 10.1016/0008 441 8846(82)90023-0.
- 442 [13] F. Xiuji, W. Lan, L. Shizong, W. Yanguo, Investigation of β-C<sub>2</sub>S twins, Cem. Concr. Res., 18 (1988) 443 753-757, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(88)90099-3.
- R. Shahsavari, L. Chen, L. Tao, Edge dislocations in dicalcium silicates: Experimental observations and atomistic analysis, Cem. Concr. Res., 90 (2016) 80-88, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.09.012.
- 446 [15] S. Saidani, A. Smith, Y. El Hafiane, L. Ben Tahar, Re-examination of the  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$  transformation of Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, 447 J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 38 (2018) 4756-4767, doi: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.06.011.
- P. Fierens, J. Tirlocq, Effect of synthesis temperature and cooling conditions of beta-dicalcium silicate on its hydration rate, Cem. Concr. Res., 13 (1983) 41-48, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(83)90126-6.
- 450 [17] G.-C. Lai, T. Nojiri, K. Nakano, Studies of the stability of β-Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> doped by minor ions, Cem. Concr. 451 Res., 22 (1992) 743-754, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(92)90097-F.
- [18] S. L. Poulsen, H. J. Jakobsen, J. Skibsted, Incorporation of Phosphorus Guest Ions in the Calcium Silicate
   Phases of Portland Cement from <sup>31</sup>P MAS NMR Spectroscopy, Inorg. Chem., 49 (2010) 5522-5529, doi: 10.1021/ic100140j.
- [19] D. Koumpouri, G. N. Angelopoulos, Effect of boron waste and boric acid addition on the production of low energy belite cement, Cem. Concr. Compos., 68 (2016) 1-8, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2015.12.009.
- 457 [20] Y. M. Kim, S.-H. Hong, Influence of Minor Ions on the Stability and Hydration Rates of β-Dicalcium silicate, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 87 (2004) 900-905, doi: 10.1111/j.1551-2916.2004.00900.x.
- [21] D. V. Lopatin, V. M. Chizhikova, Crystal-chemical stabilization of dicalcium silicate, Steel Transl., 37 (2007) 191-195, doi: 10.3103/S0967091207030035.
- 461 [22] I. M. Pritts, K. E. Daugherty, The effect of stabilizing agents on the hydration rate of  $\beta$ -C<sub>2</sub>S, Cem. Concr. 462 Res., 6 (1976) 783-795, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(76)90008-9.
- 463 [23] F. Xiuji, L. Shizong, Investigation of the effect of minor ions on the stability of  $\beta$ -C<sub>2</sub>S and the mechanism 464 of stabilization, Cem. Concr. Res., 16 (1986) 587-601, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(86)90097-9.
- 465 [24] A. G. Evans, N. Burlingame, M. Drory, W. M. Kriven, Martensitic transformations in zirconia—particle
   466 size effects and toughening, Acta Metall., 29 (1981) 447-456. doi: 10.1016/0001-6160(81)90170-X.
- Y. J. Kim, I. Nettleship, W. M. Kriven, Phase Transformations in Dicalcium Silicate: II, TEM Studies of Crystallography, Microstructure, and Mechanisms, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 75 (1992) 2407-2419, doi: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.1992.tb05593.x.
- 470 [26] A. Ghose, S. Chopra, J. F. Young, Microstructural characterization of doped dicalcium silicate polymorphs, J. Mater. Sci., 18 (1983) 2905-2914, doi: 10.1007/BF00700771.
- 472 [27] W. Dienemann, D. Schmitt, F. Bullerjahn, M. Ben Haha, Belite-Calciumsulfoaluminate-Ternesite (BCT) 473 A new low-carbon clinker Technology, Cem. Int., 11 (2013) 100-109.
- 474 [28] K. Quillin, Performance of belite-sulfoaluminate cements, Cem. Concr. Res., 31 (2001) 1341-1349, doi:
   475 10.1016/S0008-8846(01)00543-9
- 476 [29] F. C. Holanda, H. Schmidt, V. A. Quarcioni, Influence of phosphorus from phosphogypsum on the initial
  477 hydration of Portland cement in the presence of superplasticizers, Cem. Concr. Compos., 83 (2017)
  478 384-393, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.07.029.
- [30] F. Osawa, N. Shirahama, M. Yamashito, H. Tanaka, Effects of phosphorus oxide in clinker and cement on the properties of high content belite cement, Cem. Sci. Concr. Technol., 69 (2015) 23-28, doi: 10.14250/cement.69.23.
- 482 [31] T. Ifka, M. T. Palou, Z. Bazelová, The influence of CaO and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> of bone ash upon the reactivity and the burnability of cement raw mixtures, 56 (2012) 76-84.
- [32] A. J. M. Cuberos, A. G. De la Torre, G. Álvarez-Pinazo, M. C. Martín-Sedeño, K. Schollbach, H.
  Pöllmann, M. A. G. Aranda, Active Iron-Rich Belite Sulfoaluminate Cements: Clinkering and Hydration,
  Environ. Sci. Technol., 44 (2010) 6855-6862, doi: 10.1021/es101785n
- 487 [33] T. Staněk, P. Sulovský, Dicalcium silicate doped with sulfur, Adv. Cem. Res., 24 (2012) 233-238, doi: 10.1680/adcr.11.00021.

- [34] F. R. D. Andrade, S. D. Gomes, M. Pecchio, Y. Kihara, F. M. S. Carvalho, J. R. Matos, Effect of sulfur on the polymorphism and reactivity of dicalcium silicate of Portland clinker, Cerâmica, 57 (2011) 129-135, doi: 10.1590/S0366-69132011000100017.
- 492 [35] Y. M. Kim, S. H. Hong, Influence of Minor Ions on the Stability and Hydration Rates of β-Dicalcium
  493 Silicate, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 87 (2004) 900-905, doi: 10.1111/j.1551-2916.2004.00900.x.
- 494 [36] C. Duće, C. Bourgel, E. Véron, M. Allix, F. Fayon, F. Bodénan, J. Poirier, Phosphorus speciation in dicalcium silicate phases: Application to the basic oxygen furnace (BOF) slag, Cem. Concr. Res., 73 (2015) 207-214, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.03.012
- 497 [37] F. Koichiro, T. Hiroyuki, N. Yasuteru, O. Toshitaka, Surface Relief Induced by Martensitic
  498 Transformation in Phosphorus-Bearing Dicalcium Silicate, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 83 (2000) 2097-2099,
  499 doi: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.2000.tb01521.x.
- [38] P. Fierens, J. Tirlocq, Nature and concentration effect of stabilizing elements of beta-dicalcium silicate on its hydration rate, Cem. Concr. Res., 13 (1983) 267-276, doi: 10.1016/0008-8846(83)90110-2
- [39] A. Cuesta, E. R. Losilla, M. Aranda, J. Sanz, A. De la Torre, Reactive belite stabilization mechanisms by boron-bearing dopants, Cem. Concr. Res., 42 (2012) 598–606, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.01.006
- [40] W. G. Mumme, R. J. Hill, G. Bushnell-Wye, E. R. Segnit, Rietveld crystal structure refinements, crystal chemistry and calculated powder diffraction data for the polymorphs of dicalcium silicate and related phases, Neues Jahrbuch fuer Mineralogie Abhandlungen, 169 (1995) 35-68.
- 507 [41] J. Barvier, B. G. Hyde, The Structures of the Polymorphs of Dicalcium Silicate, Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, Acta Cryst., B41
   508 (1985) 383-390, doi: 10.1107/S0108768185002348
- 509 [42] M. B. Smirnov, Lattice dynamics and thermal expansion of quartz, Phys. Rev. B, 59 (1999) 4036, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.59.4036
- [43] J. G. Thomson, R. L. Withers, S. R. Palethorpe, A. Melnitchenko, Cristobalite-Related Oxide Structures, Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 15 (1998) 29-49, doi: 10.1006/jssc.1998.7903
- 513 [44] J. M. Valverde, P. E. Sanchez-Jimenez, L. A. Perez-Maqueda, Limestone Calcination Nearby Equilibrium:
  514 Kinetics, CaO Crystal Structure, Sintering and Reactivity, J. Phys. Chem. C, 119 (2015) 1623-1641, doi:
  515 10.1021/jp508745u
- 516 [45] M. A. Bredig, Polymorphism of Calcium Orthosilicate, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 33 (1950) 188-192, doi: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.1950.tb12789.x.
- 518 [46] C. Suryanarayana, M. G. Norton, X-Ray Diffraction: A Practical Approach, Springer US, (1998).
- [47] K. Fukuda, I. Maki, S. Ito, Remelting Reaction within Belite Crystals during Cooling, J. Am. Ceram. Soc.,
   75 (1992) 2896-2898, doi: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.1992.tb05528.x.
- [48] K. Fukuda, I. Maki, S. Ikeda, S. Ito, Microtextures Formed by the Remelting Reaction in Belite Crystals,
  J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 76 (1993) 2942-2944, doi: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.1993.tb04046.x.
- 523 [49] G. S. Rohrer, Grain boundary energy anisotropy: a review, J. Mater. Sci., 46 (2011) 5881, doi: 10.1007/s10853-011-5677-3.
- 525 [50] W. W. Mullins, Theory of Thermal Grooving, J. Appl. Phys., 28 (1957) 333-339, doi: 10.1063/1.1722742.
- [51] B. P. Uberuaga, L. J. Vernon, E. Martinez, A. F. Voter, The relationship between grain boundary structure, defect mobility, and grain boundary sink efficiency, Scientific Reports, 5 (2015) 9095, doi: 10.1038/srep09095.
- [52] M. W. Grabski, R. Korski, Grain boundaries as sinks for dislocations, Philos. Mag. J. Theor. Exp. Appl.
   Phys., 22 (1970) 707-715, doi: 10.1080/14786437008220941.
- [53] H. Seiner, L. Straka, O. Heczko, A microstructural model of motion of macro-twin interfaces in Ni–Mn–
   Ga 10M martensite, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 64 (2014) 198-211, doi: 10.1016/j.jmps.2013.11.004.
- [54] R. Scheuplein, P. Gibbs, Surface Structure in Corundum: I, Etching of Dislocations, J. Am. Ceram. Soc.,
   43 (1960) 458-472, doi: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.1960.tb13698.x.
- 535 [55] Y. N. Picard, M. E. Twigg, J. D. Caldwell, C. R. Eddy, M. A. Mastro, R. T. Holm, Resolving the Burgers vector for individual GaN dislocations by electron channeling contrast imaging, Scr. Mater., 61 (2009)
  537 773-776, doi: 10.1016/j.scriptamat.2009.06.021.
- 538 [56] B. Joós, M. S. Duesbery, The Peierls Stress of Dislocations: An Analytic Formula, Phys. Rev. Lett., 78, (1997), 266- 269, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.266.
- 540

# List of figures

Figure 1: XRD patterns of samples prepared through solid-state route fired for 1 hour at 1673 K compared to BC sample (Poly-condensation route), which is fired at 1473 for one hour; full line:  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and dashed line:  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>.

Figure 2: XRD patterns of samples fired at 1473 K with addition of stabilizing elements; *full line*:  $\beta$ -*Ca*<sub>2</sub>*SiO*<sub>4</sub>, *dashed line*:  $\gamma$ -*Ca*<sub>2</sub>*SiO*<sub>4</sub> and (S) *SiO*<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 3: The evolution of the heat flow as function of temperature of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> stabilized by dopants, showing the exothermic peak of  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'_{H}$  transformation.

Figure 4: SEM observation of pure sample (BC) showing the morphology of twin domain (black arrow) and grain boundaries (white arrow).

Figure 5: SEM observation of the morphology of grain boundary (white arrows) as a function of the nature of dopant and the type of cooling.

Figure 6: Effect of the presence of phosphorus upon the grain boundary grooving compared to the pure sample (BC) and change of dihedral angle according to SEM observation with the addition of phosphorus (BSP\_16).

Figure 7: HR-TEM image of BSS\_16 sample along [010] axis showing the presence of screw dislocation, which is formed by the shearing of (101) planes. The atomic model sketches the mechanism of deformation.

Figure 8: SEM images of the twin boundaries (TB) ending tips of the pure and the B-doped sample showing the formation of branching near the GB by adding the dopant.

Figure 9: SEM observation of an interface between two domains (GB) for the pure sample, showing incoherent twin boundaries at the interface (TB of domain 1-blue are not compatible with TB of domain 2-red).

Figure 10: TEM images and SAED pattern along [011] axis of the pure and the B-doped samples showing the change of the {100} twin microstructure (dotted with black arrows.

Figure 11: SEM observation of BSP\_16 and BSP\_14 showing the formation of surface relief (marked with circle) perpendicular to the twin domains.

Figure 12: a) and b) SEM observation of BSB\_16 surfaces showing the formation of slips on the surface grains (dotted with blue arrows). c) TEM observation of BSB\_16 showing the formation of slip accompanied with the SAED pattern along  $\beta$  [ $\overline{3}01$ ].

Figure 13: (a) HR-TEM image and (b) FFT pattern of BSB\_16 sample confirming the presence of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> along [200]. (c) Inverse of Fast Fourier Transform showing the existence of extra (002) half plane. (d) Schematic representation of the edge dislocation along the [002] direction leading to the formation of an edge.

Figure 14: SEM image of BSS\_16 showing the presence of etch pits on the surface grains.

Figure 15: HR-TEM images of BSS\_16 showing the presence of screw dislocations.

Figure 16: HR-TEM observation of edge dislocation in  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> structure: 1) observation of Shahsavari et al. [14], 2) observation of BSB\_16 ( $\vec{b}$ : burger vector, w: width of deformation).

Figure 17: effect of dopant (B, P and S in the present study) on the structure and microstructure of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>.

	Concentration of each component (µM)						с <i>т</i> b		
	20	35	50	75	125	250	500	1000	51
(-), $\alpha$ , $\beta$ or $\gamma$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	-
Q	≤1.0	≤1.0	2.0	3.2	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	-
$Q/\alpha$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	1.7	3.3	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	2.0
$Q/\beta$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	1.2	2.1	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	2.0
$Q/\gamma$	≤1.0	1.2	3.5	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.6
E	≤1.0	1.1	2.0	3.6	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	-
$E/\alpha$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	1.2	2.9	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	2.0
$E/\beta$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	3.1	≥6.5*	≥6.5	4.0
$E/\gamma$	≤1.0	1.2	2.1	3.8	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	1.0
Q/E	1.2	2.7	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.8
$Q/E/\alpha$	1.6	3.2	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.8
$Q/E/\beta$	2.0	4.2*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.6
$Q/E/\gamma$	1.9	4.0*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.6

**Table 1.** Dose-dependent virucidal activity and corresponding synergy index (*SI*) against RSV (enveloped RNA virus).<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Virucidal activity in  $\log_{10}$  titer reduction factor recorded after 15 min of contact time at room temperature with an initial RSV of  $1.3 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL (with  $\alpha = \alpha$ -CD,  $\beta = \beta$ -CD,  $\gamma = \gamma$ -CD,  $Q = [\text{DiC}_{10}][\text{Cl}]$  and  $E = \text{C}_{12}\text{E}_8$ ). (\*) Minimum virucidal concentration (MVC) = the lowest concentration able to inactivate at least 99.99% of viruses. <sup>b</sup> Calculated according equation 1.

	Ν	/licelles pro	perties <sup>b</sup>	Binding constant (M <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>				
	CMC (µM)	$D_{h}\left(\mathrm{nm}\right)$	$\zeta$ (mV)	lpha(%)	$K^{Q}_{1}$	$K^Q_2$	$K^{E}_{1}$	$K^{E}_{2}$
Q	1,200 <sup>d</sup>	4.0	57.9	61	-	-	-	-
$Q/\alpha$	5,500 <sup>d</sup>	3.9	60.4	60	26,000 <sup>d</sup>	7,500 <sup>d</sup>	-	-
$Q/\beta$	5,100 <sup>d</sup>	4.1	54.9	63	9,700 <sup>d</sup>	2,900 <sup>d</sup>	-	-
$Q/\gamma$	10,200 <sup>d</sup>	4.0	61.7	58	6,860 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-
Ε	100 <sup>d</sup>	7.9	0	-	-	-	-	-
$E/\alpha$	160	8.1	0	-	-	-	7,300 <sup>d</sup>	2,400 <sup>d</sup>
$E/\beta$	300	7.7	0	-	-	-	17,000 <sup>d</sup>	-
$E/\gamma$	105	8.0	0	-	-	-	70 <sup>d</sup>	-
Q/E	60 <sup>d</sup>	8.5	35.4	73	-	-	-	-
$Q/E/\alpha$	90	8.7	38.7	75	26,000 <sup>d</sup>	7,500 <sup>d</sup>	7,300 <sup>d</sup>	2,400 <sup>d</sup>
$Q/E/\beta$	80	8.6	40.1	76	9,700 <sup>d</sup>	2,900 <sup>d</sup>	17,000 <sup>d</sup>	-
Q/Ε/γ	70	8.4	34.2	72	6,860 <sup>d</sup>	-	70 <sup>d</sup>	-

**Table 2.** Physicochemical parameters of  $[DiC_{10}][Cl]/C_{12}E_8$  (*Q/E*) mixed systems with or without native cyclodextrins ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) in aqueous solution at 25 °C compared to  $[DiC_{10}][Cl]$  and  $C_{12}E_8$  alone.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> All binary or ternary systems are equimolar. <sup>b</sup> CMC = critical micelle concentration,  $D_h$  = hydrodynamic diameter,  $\zeta$ -potential recorded at 10×CMC,  $\alpha$  = the degree of ionization of the micelle. <sup>c</sup> Determined from surface tension modelling using single surfactant/cyclodextrin systems in aqueous solution with dilution experiments. <sup>d</sup> Taken in Leclercq et al., 2013.

**Table 3.** Dose-dependent biocidal or virucidal activity and corresponding synergy index (*SI*) against enveloped DNA viruses (HSV-1 and VACV), bacterium (*P. aeruginosa*) and fungus (*C. albicans*).<sup>a</sup>

		Concentration of each component (µM)						с <i>т</i> р		
		20	35	50	75	125	250	500	1000	51
	(-), $\alpha$ , $\beta$ or $\gamma$	$\leq 1.0$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	-
	Q	≤1.0	1.1	1.5	3.7	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	-
<del></del>	E	≤1.0	1.2	2.6	3.5	≥6.5*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	-
SV	Q/E	1.1	3.7	5.7*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.8
Ĥ	$Q/E/\alpha$	≤1.0	2.2	6.0*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.8
	$Q/E/\beta$	1.3	4.0*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.6
	$Q/E/\gamma$	4.1*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.3
	(-), $\alpha$ , $\beta$ or $\gamma$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	-
	$\hat{Q}$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	1.3	1.9	≥6.5*	≥6.5	-
>	$\overline{E}$	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	≤1.0	1.2	1.7	≥6.5*	≥6.5	-
¶C 	Q/E	≤1.0	1.2	2.8	4.6*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.3
$^{\prime}$	$Q/E/\alpha$	≤1.0	1.4	3.7	4.9*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.3
	$Q/E/\beta$	≤1.0	1.3	4.0*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.2
	$Q/E/\gamma$	≤1.0	1.2	5.2*	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	≥6.5	0.2
	$\frac{Q/E/\gamma}{(-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma}$	$\frac{\leq 1.0}{\leq 1.0}$	$\frac{1.2}{\leq 1.0}$	<b>5.2</b> * ≤1.0	≥ <b>6.5</b> ≤1.0	≥ <b>6.5</b> ≤1.0	≥ <b>6.5</b> ≤1.0	≥ <b>6.5</b> ≤1.0	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0	0.2
sa	$\frac{Q/E/\gamma}{(-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma}$	$\frac{\leq 1.0}{\leq 1.0}$ 1.7	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.2 \\       \leq 1.0 \\       3.2     \end{array} $	<b>5.2</b> * ≤1.0 <b>4.1</b> *	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b>	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	
inosa	$\begin{array}{c} Q/E/\gamma \\ \hline (-), \ \alpha, \ \beta \text{ or } \gamma \\ Q \\ E \end{array}$	$\leq 1.0$ $\leq 1.0$ 1.7 $\leq 1.0$	$     \begin{array}{r}             1.2 \\             \leq 1.0 \\             3.2 \\             \leq 1.0         \end{array} $	<b>5.2</b> * ≤1.0 <b>4.1</b> * ≤1.0	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0	0.2
uginosa		$\leq 1.0$ $\leq 1.0$ 1.7 $\leq 1.0$ 1.7	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.2 \\       \leq 1.0 \\       3.2 \\       \leq 1.0 \\       3.6     \end{array} $	<b>5.2*</b> ≤1.0 <b>4.1*</b> ≤1.0 <b>4.5*</b>	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b>	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	<b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b> ≤1.0 <b>≥6.5</b>	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5	0.2
aeruginosa		$\leq 1.0$ $\leq 1.0$ 1.7 $\leq 1.0$ 1.7 1.4	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.2 \\       \leq 1.0 \\       3.2 \\       \leq 1.0 \\       3.6 \\       2.7 \\     \end{array} $	5.2*         ≤1.0         4.1*         ≤1.0         4.5*         4.5*	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≥6.5	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≥6.5	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≥6.5	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0
P. aeruginosa	$     \begin{array}{r} Q/E/\gamma \\     \hline         (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma \\         Q \\         E \\         Q/E \\         Q/E/\alpha \\         Q/E/\beta     \end{array} $	$\leq 1.0$ $\leq 1.0$ 1.7 $\leq 1.0$ 1.7 1.4 $\leq 1.0$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ \end{array} $	5.2*         ≤1.0         4.1*         ≤1.0         4.5*         4.5*         4.6*	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≤1.0 ≥6.5 ≥6.5 ≥6.5	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
P. aeruginosa	$     \begin{array}{r} Q/E/\gamma \\     \hline Q \\     \hline C \\     \hline Q \\     \hline E \\     \hline Q/E \\     Q/E/\alpha \\     Q/E/\beta \\     Q/E/\gamma   \end{array} $		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.1 \end{array} $	$5.2*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.5*$ $4.5*$ $4.6*$ $4.1*$	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> </ul>	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
P. aeruginosa	$\begin{array}{c} Q/E/\gamma\\ \hline (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma\\ Q\\ E\\ Q/E\\ Q/E/\alpha\\ Q/E/\beta\\ Q/E/\gamma\\ \hline (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma\end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.1 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \end{array} $	5.2*         ≤1.0         4.1*         ≤1.0         4.5*         4.5*         4.6*         4.1*         ≤1.0	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 -
s P. aeruginosa	$\begin{array}{c} Q/E/\gamma\\ \hline Q\\ C\\ C\\$		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.1 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \end{array} $	$5.2*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.5*$ $4.5*$ $4.6*$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $1.3$	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>4.3*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 - -
cans P. aeruginosa	$\begin{array}{c} Q/E/\gamma\\ \hline Q\\ (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma\\ Q\\ E\\ Q/E\\ \alpha\\ Q/E/\alpha\\ Q/E/\beta\\ Q/E/\gamma\\ (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma\\ Q\\ E\\ \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.1 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \end{array} $	$5.2*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.5*$ $4.5*$ $4.6*$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $1.3$ $\leq 1.0$	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>4.3*</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> </ul>	0.2 - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 - - - -
lbicans P. aeruginosa	$     \begin{array}{r}       Q/E/\gamma \\       Q \\       Q \\       E \\       Q/E \\       Q/E/\alpha \\       Q/E/\beta \\       Q/E/\gamma \\       (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma \\       Q \\       E \\       Q/E     \end{array} $		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 6.0^* \end{array} $	5.2* ≤1.0 4.1* ≤1.0 4.5* 4.5* 4.6* 4.6* 4.1* ≤1.0 1.3 ≤1.0 ≥6.0	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>4.3*</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
. albicans P. aeruginosa	$     \begin{array}{r} Q/E/\gamma \\       Q \\       Q \\       E \\       Q/E \\       Q/E/\alpha \\       Q/E/\beta \\       Q/E/\gamma \\       (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma \\       Q \\       E \\       Q/E \\       Q/E \\       Q/E/\alpha     \end{array} $		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 6.0^* \\ \geq 6.0^* \end{array} $	$5.2*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.5*$ $4.5*$ $4.6*$ $4.6*$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $1.3$ $\leq 1.0$ $\geq 6.0$ $\geq 6.0$	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>4.3*</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	0.2 - - 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 - - - 0.5 0.5
C. albicans P. aeruginosa	$\begin{array}{c} Q/E/\gamma\\ \hline Q/E/\gamma\\ Q\\ E\\ Q/E/\alpha\\ Q/E/\beta\\ Q/E/\gamma\\ \hline (-), \alpha, \beta \text{ or } \gamma\\ Q\\ E\\ Q/E\\ Q/E\\ A\\ Q/E/\beta\\ \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.2 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 1.0 \\ \leq 6.0^* \\ \geq 6.0^* \\ \geq 6.0^* \end{array} $	$5.2*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $4.5*$ $4.5*$ $4.6*$ $4.1*$ $\leq 1.0$ $1.3$ $\leq 1.0$ $\geq 6.0$ $\geq 6.0$ $\geq 6.0$	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>4.3*</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≥6.5</li> <li>≤1.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≤6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> <li>≥6.0</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ \hline \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \end{array}$

<sup>a</sup> Biocidal or virucidal activity in  $\log_{10}$  titer reduction factor recorded at room temperature after 15 min of contact time for HSV-1 ( $1.5 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL), VACV ( $1.1 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL), *C. albicans* ( $1.6 \times 10^6$  CFU/mL) and 5 min for *P. aeruginosa* ( $1.0 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL). (\*) Minimum virucidal concentration (MVC) = the lowest concentration able to inactivate at least 99.99% of viruses. <sup>b</sup> Calculated according equation 1.

Stabilizing	Stabilizer	stabiliz	er quantity	Firing	Firing time and	Product	Ref.
ion	ion source			temperature (K)	repetition (Hrs)		
B <sup>3+</sup>	$H_3BO_3$	0.24	wt% B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1708	17	β	[20]
		0.51	_	1708	6+2	β	
		1.01	_	1708	6+2+4.5	β, 3CaO.2SiO <sub>2</sub>	
		3.00	_	1708	1	3CaO.2SiO <sub>2</sub>	
		5.02	_	1708	1	3CaO.2SiO <sub>2</sub>	_
		7.55	_	1708	1	3CaO.2SiO <sub>2</sub>	_
		0.51	_	1573	0.5	γ<α' <sub>H</sub> <β	[39]
		0.76	_	1573	0.5	α' <sub>H</sub> <β	
		1.02	_	1573	0.5	α' <sub>H</sub> <β	
		2.05	_	1573	0.5	α' <sub>H</sub> <β	
		4.15	_	1573	0.5	β<α' <sub>H</sub>	_
S <sup>6+</sup>	CaSO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	0.74	wt% CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1523	2.5	β, CaO	[20]
		0.74	_	1673	4+1+22	β, γ	
		2.88	-	1673	2+2	β	_
		3.03	-	1673	3+3	β	_
		4.90	-	1673	2+2+2.5	β	-
		0.06	wt% CaSO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	1723	2	α<γ<β	[33]
		0.10	_	1723	2	α<γ<β	
		0.13	_	1723	2	α<γ<β	
		0.19		1723	2	γ<α<β	
P <sup>5+</sup>	-	0.19	wt%	1708	6	β, γ	[20]
		0.40	$P_2O_5$	1708	2	β, γ	_
		0.60	_	1708	1+2	β	
		1.00	-	1708	8+4+2	β	_
		3.00	-	1708	2+18+2.5	α	_
	Ca(PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	5.00	_	1773	3	α', β	[17]

Table 1: Effect of the addition of phosphorus, sulfur and boron on the stabilization of different  $Ca_2SiO_4$ -polymorphs prepared with different experimental conditions (Stabilizer quantity in wt%, firing temperature and firing repetitions) as described in references [17, 20, 33, 39].

Sample	Synthesis route	Ca:Si (molar ratio)	Impurity-Wt%	Firing temperature (K)	Firing duration (hours)
BC	Poly-condensation	2:1	-	673-1473	3-1
BS	Solid-state	2:1	-	1673	1
BSB_14	-	2:1	Bore-0.2	1473	1
BSP_14	-	2:1	Phosphorus-0.5	1473	1
BSS_14	-	2:1	Sulfur-1	1473	1
BSB_16	-	2:1	Bore-0.2	1673	1
BSP_16	-	2:1	Phosphorus-0.5	1673	1
BSS_16	-	2:1	Sulfur-1	1673	1

Table 2: Experimental conditions of samples preparation through polycondensation and solid-state routes. BC (resp. BS) refers to samples prepared by a chemical route (resp. solid-state reaction). BSB, BSP and BSS correspond to samples doped with boron (0.2 wt%), phosphorus (0.5 wt%) or sulfur (1 wt%) and sintered at 1473 K (BSP\_14, BSP\_14, BSS\_14) or 1673 K (BSP\_16, BSP\_16, BSS\_16).

Phase	Code ISCD	Ref.
β-Ca <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	81096	[40]
γ-Ca <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	81095	[40]
a'-Ca2SiO4	81097	[40]
α-Ca <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	182052	[41]
Quartz	77458	[42]
Cristobalite	9008110	[43]
CaO	60199	[44]

 Table 3: Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) codes of the different

 compounds used for Rietveld refinement

	β-Ca <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	α'-Ca2SiO4	α-Ca <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	γ-Ca2SiO4	SiO <sub>2</sub>	CaO	Rwp	Rp	Rexp
BSP_14	82.3	1.2	-	4.13	1.1	9.1	11.39	7.9	6.9
BSB_14	98.8	0.4	-	-	0.3	0.3	10.7	8.0	6.9
BSS_14	80.2	1.1	-	4.05	2.4	11.5	12.7	9.2	6.9
BSP_16	70.8	22.0	-	7.0	-	-	12.0	8.5	6.8
BSB_16	99.5	-	0.3	-	-	-	12.5	9.0	6.9
<b>BSS_16</b>	98.8	0.4	0.3	-	-	-	10.7	8.0	6.7

Table 4: Percentages of phases in the different samples deduced from Rietveld refinement of XRD patterns.

	<b>Δa (%)</b>	Δb (%)	Δc (%)	Δβ (%)	$\Delta V(\%)$
BSP_14	-0.01	-0.01	-0.03	-0.03	-0.04
<b>BSB_14</b>	-0,02	-0,01	-0,01	-0,05	-0,08
BSS_14	-0,01	-0,03	-0,01	-0,01	-0,04
<b>BSP_16</b>	-0,05	-0,06	-0,02	-0,03	-0,14
BSB_16	-0,15	-0,01	-0,05	-0,16	-0,20
BSS_16	-0,03	-0,04	-0,01	-0,02	-0,09

Table 5: Variations of  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> unit cell parameters for different samples, calculated using Rietveld refinement, by comparison with the lattice parameters given by Mumme et al. [40] ( $\Delta x = \frac{x_{sample} - x_{reference}}{x_{reference}}$ )